

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,240	07/09/2003	Akira Kuramori	OGW-0272	8318	
23353	7590 10/07/2004	EXAMINER			
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING			JOHNSTONE,	JOHNSTONE, ADRIENNE C	
	REET N.W., SUITE 50	01	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			1733		

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/615,240	KURAMORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Adrienne C. Johnstone	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-from 070903, 10 03 03	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/615,240 Art Unit: 1733

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in paragraph 0023 line 10 and paragraph 0032 line 9 applicants should clarify that the elastic ring having a higher rigidity has a *lower* (not "higher") elasticity than the other elastic ring.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Objections

3. Claims 2 and 7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The intended positioning of the run-flat support member with respect to a vehicle does not further limit the structure of the run-flat support member because the vehicle is not required to be present in the claim and no other structural feature is claimed which would distinguish the "outer" side of the run-flat support member from the "inner" side thereof.

One way to overcome this objection with respect to claim 2 would be to rewrite claim 2 in independent form such that the vehicle is positively recited in the claims (A vehicle including a tire/wheel assembly in which ... ).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,240 Art Unit: 1733

5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants have not yet defined the claimed "rigidity" as the radial rigidity described in paragraph 0033 of the specification; for purposes of this examination the claimed "rigidity" will be interpreted as being the radial rigidity described in paragraph 0033 of the specification, however correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by PCT Publication WO 99/64260 A1 (equivalent to Hellweg et al. (6,463,974 B1)).

See Hellweg et al. col. 2 lines 24-50, col. 4 lines 47-64, col. 7 line 47 - col. 8 line 16, embodiment of Figure 1. As to the recitation in claims 1 and 6 that "the elastic ring receiving a larger load during run-flat traveling has a higher rigidity" as well as the language of claims 2 and 7, see paragraph 3 above explaining the failure of such language to further limit the claimed structure.

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4, 5, 9, and 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1733

9. Claim 2 amended as suggested in paragraph 3 above would receive favorable consideration, since the prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest placing the higher rigidity elastic ring on the outer side of the vehicle in the claimed environment.

#### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Glinz et al. (6,463,976 B1) anticipates or renders obvious at least claims 1 and 6 (see the embodiment of Figure 4) but is considered to be no more pertinent to the instant claims than the prior art applied by the examiner above.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adrienne C. Johnstone whose telephone number is (571)272-1218. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30AM-7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (571)272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Adrienne C. Johnstone Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

advance C. Strustore

Adrienne Johnstone

September 28, 2004